MANDRAGOURAS, Amy E. Lahive & Cockfield 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109-1875 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day|month|year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RPI-035CPPC

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US 96/06200

02/05/1996

04/05/1995

Applicant

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AS REPRESENTED... et al

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international 1. preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- Ż. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but 3. not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volumeth of the PCE Applicants

JUL 28 1997

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tr. 523656 epmu d

Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Authorized officer

BY. C. Vullo

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		See Natifica	tion of Transmittal of International
RPI-035CPPC	FOR FURTHER ACTION		Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day)	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 96/ 06200	02/05/1996	04/05/1995	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or 1	national classification and IPC		
	C12N15/87		
Applicant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	AS REPRESENTED	et al	
 This international preliminary exami Authority and is transmitted to the a This REPORT consists of a total of 	applicant according to Article 30	5.	-
been amended and are the basis (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607	s for this report and/or sheets o 7 of the Administrative Instruct	ontaining rectif ions under the	n, claims and/or drawings which have ications made before this Authority PCT).
These annexes consists of a total of			
3. This report contains indications and	corresponding pages relating to	the following i	tems:
I X Basis of the report			
II Priority		•	
Non-establishment of opin	nion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and	industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of invention	•		
V Reasoned statement under citations and explanations	r Article 35(2) with regard to no supporting such statement	velty, inventive	step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the inter	rnational application		·
	ne international application		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of	
26/11/1996			2 4. 07. 97
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authori	zed officer	
European Patent Office		R	7 2 2 25 25
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tr.: 523656 e	sbưin q	, ,	R. Großkopf
Far: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Telephor	e No	

	•
. This report has been drawn up on the basis of (Repla Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 not annexed to the report since they do not contain	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are amendments.):
[x] the international application as originally fi	led.
[] the description, pages	, as originally filed.
	, filed with the demand,
pages	, filed with the letter of,
pages	, filed with the letter of,
[] the claims, Mos.	ac ariginallu ett
	, as originally filled, , as amended under Article 19,
Nos.	
Nos.	, filed with the letter of,
Nos.	, filed with the letter of,
[] the drawings, sheets/fig	, as originally filed,
sneets/11g	, filed with the demand,
sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of
sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of
the amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	·
	·
[] the claims, Nos.	•
[] the drawings, sheets/fig	•
[] the description, pages [] the claims,	e amendments had not been made, since they have been

[■] PCT/IPEA/409 (sheet 1) (January 1994)

INTERNATIONAL	PRELIM ARY	EXAMINATION	REPORT
---------------	------------	-------------	--------

PCT/US96/06200

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
. STATEMENT				
Hovelty (N)	Clai n s	YES		
	Claims 1,19	NO		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	YES		
	Claims 2-18, 20-21	ЖО		
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-19	YES		
	Clairs	NO		

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

A method for transfection of T cells with a nucleic acid molecule comprising a gene wherein the T-cells are contacted with a stimulatory agent <u>prior</u> to the transfection is already described in D1 (WO 94/29436; see e.g. page 21. section II).
 Therefore, at least the general methods according to

Therefore, at least the general methods according to present Claims 1 and 19 are not novel (Article 33.2 PCT).

Moreover, and without going into detail, nearly all of the other embodiments of the dependent claims are also disclosed in D1 (i.e. especially the use of two different agents and several of the specific agents referred to in the dependent claims) and, consequently, said claims lack novelty.

2. A detailed examination of novelty and inventive activity of the dependent claims, however, at present has not taken place for the following reasons:

First, it appears as if an inventive concept of the present application which is based on the choice of the order of the stimulation and the transfection step, is no longer present. In view of this observation, also none of the features of the dependent claims (novelty provided) can re-establish an inventive activity.

Second, in view of the lack of novelty of the main claims, most of the dependent claims become "quasi-independent" and are, regardless the absence of any inventive activity, no longer connected between each other by a common inventive concept.

Thus, in absence of one independent main claim which is, at least, novel the remaining set of claims lacks unity. Consequently, a further examination can only take place either if a decision has been taken by the Applicant which of the features of the dependent claims should be examined (e.g. by integrating this feature into a new main claim), or if one or more examination fees have been paid for each of the features which is desired to be examined.

3. For the assessment of the present Claims 19 to 21 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.